

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/711,760	10/04/2004	Alan Sturt	04205	5759
36547	590 10/14/2005		EXAMINER	
BIR LAW, PLC 45094 MIDDLEBURY CT.			PEDDER, DENNIS H	
CANTON, MI 48188-3215			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	·		3612	

DATE MAILED: 10/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/711,760	STURT ET AL.	j			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dennis H. Pedder	3612				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	n the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		rs, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application	on.					
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20</u> is/are objected to.						
	☐ Claim(s) <u>20</u> israte objected to.					
	,					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 October 2004</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form P10-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	iority documents have been i	eceived in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not r	eceived.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)  Interview Si	ımmary (PTO-413)	,			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	5)  Notice of In 6)  Other:	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/4/2004.  S. Patent and Trademark Office		<u>·</u>				

## DETAILED ACTION

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 is indefinite in that it is not clear at what time the support member is concealed, for example, is it concealed after the module is assembled there below? Claim 1 is also indefinite in that it is not clear where the attachment apertures are formed, on the headliner or on the support member. The frame of reference of the structural support member and the headliner and modules is also vague. In other words, what does it support?

Claim 8 is vague. What constitutes a sufficient space to inhibit folding and what force is to be applied to cause such folding for insufficient spacing?

## **Drawings**

3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the details of claims 10-11 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet,

Application/Control Number: 10/711,760 Page 3

Art Unit: 3612

even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-4, 8-10, 12, 15, 17, 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Serizawa et al.

Serizawa et al. have headliner 3, structural support member 2, apertures shown in figure 1 and apertures 11 in the support member that receive pins/latching devices 5b of the module. The module 5 also contains multiple modules 24,26, 22, 21.

As to claim 2, each latching aperture also comprises a positioning aperture.

Art Unit: 3612

As to claim 4, the latching devices 5b rotate with a virtual horizontal axis when engaging.

As to claim 8, the holes in figures 1,3, 6 are deemed sufficiently spaced to avoid folding in normal position of use.

As to claim 9, rotation about the horizontal axis is less than one revolution.

As to claim 10, see compartment 23.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 8. Claims 11, 14, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Serizawa et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/711,760 Page 5

Art Unit: 3612

Control modules, for garage door openers, for example, and pins for connection of electrical wiring are common knowledge in the art, obvious to use here for their known advantages.

As to claim 14, multiple conductors (see 16) are an obvious expedient to power multiple accessories.

9. Claims 13, 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Serizawa et al. in view of Tiesler et al. 6,575,528 ('528).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to provide in Serizawa et al. a blank plate 148 as taught by Tiesler et al. '528 in order to cover a unused hole for aesthetics.

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 10. Claims 5-7 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 11. Claim 20 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. All show module connections at the headliner.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dennis H. Pedder whose telephone number is (571) 272-6667. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30-2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn D. Dayoan can be reached on (571) 272-6659. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dennis H. Pedder Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3612

DHP 10/4/2005